

Table 1

And of the other candidates for Mayor who would be your second choice to vote for?

Base: Ottawa residents who have not already voted who named a candidate they would vote for

	Total ----- (A)	MOST INFLUENTIAL ISSUES WHEN CHOOSING MAYORAL CANDIDATE				
		Transit ----- (E)	Fiscal Management ----- (F)	Housing / Affordability ----- (G)	Competence / Leadership ----- (H)	Roads / Infrastructure ----- (I)
Weighted Total	427	72	63	78	51	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Unweighted Total	493	82	74	79	71	55
Neil Saravanamuttoo	79	25	3	10	8	6
	19%	35%	5%	13%	15%	14%
		FGHI				
Jeff Leiper	56	4	13	10	10	8
	13%	6%	20%	13%	19%	17%
			E		E	
Alex Lawson	50	1	14	15	3	5
	12%	2%	22%	19%	7%	12%
			EH	EH		E
Mark Sutcliffe	45	7	10	9	4	5
	11%	9%	16%	11%	7%	12%
Another candidate	36	6	2	9	4	2
	9%	8%	3%	12%	7%	5%
Bernard Couchman	9	-	1	1	-	-
	2%		2%	2%		
Josh Rachlis	6	2	-	-	-	2
	1%	3%				5%
Ali Shayvard	6	2	-	2	-	-
	1%	3%		3%		
Geoff Nicholas	5	-	-	2	1	-
	1%			3%	2%	
Amjad Ali Syed	4	1	1	2	-	-

	1%	1%	2%	3%		
Jacob Solomon	3	-	-	1	0	-
	1%			1%	1%	
Peter Westaway	2	-	-	-	2	-
	1%				4%	
Queen Divine Priya Amber Bakshi	1	0	-	-	-	-
	0%	1%				
Suraj Harish	1	-	-	-	-	-
	0%					
In that case, I would not vote	40	9	7	6	6	4
	9%	13%	11%	8%	11%	8%
Don't know	114	19	14	16	16	15
	27%	27%	23%	20%	32%	32%
Prefer not to answer	6	1	-	3	1	-
	1%	1%		4%	2%	
Chi-Square		<-----94.47----->				
Significance		100%*				

Comparison Groups: EFGHI

Independent T-Test for Means (unequal variances), Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1
or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.

Table 2

How likely is it you will change your mind and end up voting for your second choice?

Base: Ottawa residents who provided a second choice candidate

		MOST INFLUENTIAL ISSUES WHEN CHOOSING MAYORAL CANDIDATE				
		Total	Transit	Fiscal Management	Housing / Affordability	Competence / Leadership
		-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
		(A)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Weighted Total		267	43	41	53	28
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Unweighted Total	292	48	45	50	38	32
Very likely	16	2	-	8	-	1
	6%	5%		15%		3%
Somewhat likely	68	6	8	19	4	9
	26%	13%	19%	35%	16%	34%
				EH		
Not very likely	94	17	14	15	12	12
	35%	40%	34%	28%	44%	46%
Not at all likely	67	13	16	8	9	4
	25%	31%	38%	15%	32%	14%
			GI			
Don't know	19	5	4	3	2	1
	7%	11%	10%	6%	8%	3%
Prefer not to answer	2	-	-	1	-	-
	1%			1%		
TOP-TWO BOX	84	8	8	27	4	10
	32%	18%	19%	50%	16%	37%
				EFH		
BOTTOM-TWO BOX	161	31	29	23	21	16
	60%	71%	71%	43%	76%	60%
		G	G		G	
Chi-Square		<-----50.8----->				
Significance		99%*				

Comparison Groups: EFGHI

Independent T-Test for Means (unequal variances), Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

"*" Denotes Chi-Square where at least one cell has an expected value of less than 1
or more than 20% of the cells have an expected value of less than 5.